

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/010,911	11/20/2001	Victor I. Chornenky	P775 CON 3	P775 CON 3 6127		
28390	7590 11/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC VASCULAR, INC.			SHAY, DAVID M			
IP LEGAL DE 3576 UNOCA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SANTA ROSA, CA 95403			3735			

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/010,911	CHORNENKY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
david shay	3735	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
Zerove and thing or any prometry							
	david shay	3735					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>October 24, 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	ite extension fee iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	le de l'ande des des efficience boief	ما اممسمفسم ما فحم اللاب					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	ow);		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>47,48 and 50-60</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>40</u> .	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wivided below or appended.	Il be entered and an o	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 			nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s).	5				
•		DAVID M. SH PRIMARY EXAM					

GROUP 330

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's assertions regarding flashover are note but not convincing, for example the disclosure at pages 11-12 of the instant application appear to emphasize the importance of the insulators for the prevention of flashover, rather then the electric field value. With regard to the art rejection, applicant's continued assertions that heating is a problem, because some embodiments of Parker employ cooling is noted, however, as Parker clearly teaches non-cooled embodiments and does not require the use of cooled embodiments when the devices are used in the body, thus applicant's unproven assertions to the contrary are not convincing.